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ABSTRACT
Community health center (puskesmas), is a health service facility that organizes public health efforts and first-level individual health efforts, and prioritizes promotive and preventive efforts. In accordance with geographical conditions, area size, transportation facilities, and population density in the working area of the puskesmas so that the puskesmas services are accessible to the population, to assist the smooth process of services that will make it easier for the community. Therefore, to assist in determining an ideal puskesmas development location, a Decision Support System (DSS) is used, the process of calculating the results of determining the location of the puskesmas construction using the Profile Matching method. As for the alternatives of this study, 6 villages were taken, namely Tanjung Mulia, Sumberajjo, Sidodadi, Pagar Merbau II, Sidoarjo Satu Pasar Miring, and Bandar Dolok. And from the results of calculations using the Profile Matching method, it displays the ranking of the results of determining the location, where the highest value is in Tanjung Mulia village with a value of 3.69 so that it can be used as a solution or consideration in determining the location of the puskesmas to be built.
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1. Introduction
Technological growth is currently growing very quickly, especially in the use of computers. With the growth of technology that continues to grow, it can make it easier to obtain and circulate the information obtained. The progress of information obtained in the form of writing, speech, and pictures clearly as a major factor in the circulation of information. Advances in technology and information today are directly proportional to the growth of applications that are intentionally made to make work easier. With a variety of applications, people can easily complete their work. One application that can assist in completing the work is a Decision Support System because a Decision Support System can assist in making accurate and well-targeted decisions [1]. Decision Support System
(DSS) is an information system that uses decision models, and an information base. The system is used to provide certainty in a semi-structured and unstructured atmosphere, where no one knows exactly how decisions should be made (Khoiriyah et al., 2019). This problem can be solved by building a Decision Support System (DSS) application using the profile matching method which is considered appropriate for making decisions. [2].

Profile Matching in outline is a process of comparing individual competencies into position competencies so that differences in competencies can be known (also called GAP), the smaller the GAP produced, the greater the chance of the value weighting to get the position (Sudrajat, 2018). Based on previous research entitled "Comparison of Profile Matching and PROMETHEE Methods (Preference Ranking Organizational Method For Enrichment Evaluation) in Ensuring Eligible Students for Underprivileged Scholarship Recipients at Kendari Marine and Fisheries Vocational School" (Izatu et al., 2016). Concluding that the Profile Matching method is based on testing and research, the Profile Matching method has a 100% match with the real data of scholarship recipients compared to PROMETHEE which from the test results only has a 94% match with the real data of scholarship recipients. With the test results it can be said that the Profile Matching method is better in determining scholarship recipients than the PROMETHEE method [3].

Based on research conducted by (Entin Sutinah, 2017) has a problem, namely the Selection of the Best Salesman, this research produces a decision that is right and as expected, namely the management can choose the best salesman who will later be promoted to salesman manager.

Based on other research conducted by (Khoirun Nisa, 2018) has a problem, namely the Selection of Server And Network Vendors, the purpose of this study is to determine a suitable vendor to be able to provide server and network maintenance services as needed, so that a decision is obtained to choose a vendor who will cooperate.

Based on other research conducted by (Siti Khoiriyah, 2019) which has a problem, namely the Selection of the Best Crew Store, this research results in an assessment of the performance of the best crew store which can be promoted as Assistant Chief of store, or will be extended its tenure.

And another study with the title "The Best Employee Selection Decision Support System Using the Profile Matching method", formulated that a decision support system using the Profile Matching method was deemed appropriate to be used in assisting decision making. Where this method is quite efficient in facilitating and shortening the decision-making process (Angeline & Astuti, 2018).

This study was made to find out how the application of Decision Support Systems in helping to solve a problem and to find out how to apply the Profile Matching method to provide precise and accurate results [4].

2. Method

3.1 Research Framework
The steps involved in the research are as follows:
3. Identify Problem
This observation framework begins with the introduction of the problems that are intertwined, namely by ensuring something to be researched.

b. Formulate The Problem
In this section, the author defines the problems that arise in the observation place.

c. Set Goals
In this section the author sets out the objectives of the main problems to be solved.

d. Method Analysis
At this stage the researcher chooses the method that will be formed in solving the problem.

e. Collecting Data & Information
In this section the authors carry out the collection of related information in the observation.

f. Method Implementation
This stage is the stage for carrying out algorithmic calculations to secure the data that is the object of research.

3.1 GAP Mapping Calculation Process
The process of carrying out calculations for each village on a predetermined attribute profile, after an assessment, the next step will be matching with the service value desired by the Public Health Center. This matching is useful for obtaining the value of the difference between the value of the village...
profile and the standard value. As for obtaining results, the gap is calculated using the following formula:

\[ \text{GAP} = \text{Input Value} - \text{Standard Value} \]  

(1)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No</th>
<th>Village</th>
<th>Geografichal</th>
<th>Total Population</th>
<th>Availability Transportation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>Tanjung Mulia</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>Sumberejo</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>Siodali</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td>Pagar Merbau II</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td>Sdrj Satu Psr M</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.</td>
<td>Bandar Dolok</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TABLE 1. ALTERNATIVE MAPPING

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No</th>
<th>Village</th>
<th>Difference</th>
<th>Weight Value</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>Tanjung Mulia</td>
<td>-2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>No gap (Competence as required)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>Sumberejo</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>Individual competence excess 1 level / level</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>Siodali</td>
<td>-3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Individual competence excess 1 level / level</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td>Pagar Merbau II</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Individual competence excess 2 levels / level</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td>Sdrj Satu Psr M</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Individual competence less than 3 levels / level</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.</td>
<td>Bandar Dolok</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Individual competence less than 4 levels / level</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.2 Doing the Weighting

After mapping the gap, the next step is weighting, with the following weighting provisions:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No</th>
<th>Difference</th>
<th>Weight Value</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>No gap (Competence as required)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>Individual competence excess 1 level / level</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Individual competence excess 1 level / level</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>Individual competence excess 2 levels / level</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td>-2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Individual competence less than 3 levels / level</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Individual competence less than 4 levels / level</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.</td>
<td>-3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Individual competence less than 3 levels / level</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>Individual competence excess 4 levels / level</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.</td>
<td>-4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Individual competence less than 4 levels / level</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

At this stage the gap value that has been obtained will be converted into the weight of the gap value that has been determined in the profile matching method.
### TABLE 3. CONVERSATION OF VALUES TO WEIGHTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No</th>
<th>Village</th>
<th>Aspect Geografichal</th>
<th>Aspect Total Population</th>
<th>Aspect Availability Transportation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(A1)</td>
<td>(A2)</td>
<td>(A3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Tanjung Mulia</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Sumberejo</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Sidodali</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Pagar Merbau II</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Sdrj Satu Psr M</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Bandar Dolok</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### 3.3 Calculation of Core Factor and Secondary Factor

To calculate the core factor, first take some of the criteria from each very important aspect and later will be used as a core factor for the rest to be used as a secondary factor. The core factor in the Geography aspect takes one criterion, namely A1, the Population aspect takes one A3 criterion, and in the Availability of Transportation Equipment aspect takes one A6 criterion, while the secondary factor for the Geography aspect takes one A2 criterion, and for the Availability aspect, the means of transportation take 2 criteria A4 and A5.

**Core factor**

The criteria included in the core factor will be calculated using the following formula:

\[
NCF = \frac{\sum CF}{C} \quad \text{Description}
\]

- **NCF**: Core factor average
- **NC**: Total value of core factor
- **C**: Number of core factor items

**Core Factor Aspect Geografichal**

- **Tanjung Mulia**
  - NCF = 3 = 3
    - 1
- **Sumberejo**
  - NCF = 4 = 4
    - 1
- **Sidodali**
  - NCF = 2 = 2
    - 1
- **Pagar Merbau II**
  - NCF = 4.5 = 4.5
    - 1
- **Sidoarjo Satu Pasar Miring**
  - NCF = 4 = 4
    - 1
- **Bandar Dolok**
  - NCF = 4 = 4
    - 1

**Core Factor Aspect Total Population**

- **Tanjung Mulia**
  - NCF = 5 = 5
    - 1
- **Sumberejo**
  - NCF = 3 = 3
    - 1
Sidodadi
Ncf = 2 = 2
1
Pagar Merbau II
Ncf = 2 = 2
1
SidoarjoSatu Pasar Miring
Ncf = 4 = 4
1
Bandar Dolok
Ncf = 2 = 2
1

Core Factor Aspect Availability of Transportation
Tanjug Mulia
Ncf = 5 = 5
1
Sumberejo
Ncf = 4 = 4
1
Sidodadi
Ncf = 3 = 3
1
Pagar Merbau II
Ncf = 5 = 5
1
SidoarjoSatu Pasar Miring
Ncf = 4 = 4
1
Bandar Dolok
Ncf = 2 = 2
1

Secondary Factor
As for the secondary factor calculation, it is calculated using the following formula:

\[
NSF = \frac{\sum NS}{S_S}
\]  

Description
NSF : Average value of secondary factor
NS : Total value of secondary factor
S : Number of secondary factor items

Secondary Factor Aspect Geografichal
Tanjug Mulia
Nsf = 4.5 = 4.5
1
Sumberejo
Nsf = 4.5 = 4.5
1
Sidodadi
Nsf = 3.5 = 3.5
1
Pagar Merbau II
Nsf = 3.5 = 3.5
1
Sidoarjo Satu Pasar Miring
NsF = \( 3.5 + 3.5 \)
\[ \frac{1}{1} \]
Bandar Dolok
NsF = \( 3.5 + 3.5 \)
\[ \frac{1}{1} \]

*Secondary Factor* Aspect Availability of Transportation

Tanjung Mulia
Ncf = \( 3.5 + 5 \)
\[ = 4.25 \]
\[ \frac{2}{2} \]
Sumberejo
Ncf = \( 4.5 + 4.5 \)
\[ = 4.5 \]
\[ \frac{2}{2} \]
Sidodadi
Ncf = \( 5 + 5 \)
\[ = 5 \]
\[ \frac{2}{2} \]
Pagar Merbau II
Ncf = \( 4.5 + 4 \)
\[ = 4.25 \]
\[ \frac{2}{2} \]
Sidoarjo Satu Pasar Miring
Ncf = \( 4.5 + 4 \)
\[ = 4.25 \]
\[ \frac{2}{2} \]
Bandar Dolok
Ncf = \( 4.5 + 3 \)
\[ = 3.75 \]
\[ \frac{2}{2} \]

3.4 Calculation of Total Value

Calculation of the total value of the percentage of core factor and secondary factor, each percentage is divided into 60% for the core factor and 40% for the secondary factor. To calculate the total value can use the following formula:

**Description**

- **NT**: Total Value
- **NCF**: Core factor average
- **NSF**: Average value of secondary factor
- **(x)%**: Core factor percent value
- **(y)%**: Secondary factor percent value

**Aspect Geografichal**

Calculating the total value of each village

Tanjung Mulia
\[ N = (60\% \times 3) + (40\% \times 4.5) \]
\[ = 1.8 + 1.8 \]
\[ = 3.6 \]

Sumberejo
\[ N = (60\% \times 4) + (40\% \times 4.5) \]
\[ = 2.4 + 1.8 \]
\[ = 4.2 \]

Sidodadi
\[ N = (60\% \times 2) + (40\% \times 3.5) \]
\[ = 1.2 + 1.4 \]
\[ = 2.6 \]

Pagar Merbau II
\[ N = (60\% \times 4.5) + (40\% \times 3.5) \]
\[ = 2.7 + 1.4 \]
Sidoarjo Satu Pasar Miring
\[ N = (60\% \times 4) + (40\% \times 3,5) \]
\[ = 2,4 + 1,4 \]
\[ = 3,8 \]
Bandar Dolok
\[ N = (60\% \times 4) + (40\% \times 3,5) \]
\[ = 2,4 + 1,4 \]
\[ = 3,8 \]
Aspect Total Population
Tanjung Mulia
\[ N = (60\% \times 5) + (40\% \times 0) \]
\[ = 3 + 0 \]
\[ = 3 \]
Sumberejo
\[ N = (60\% \times 3) + (40\% \times 0) \]
\[ = 1,8 + 0 \]
\[ = 1,8 \]
Sidodadi
\[ N = (60\% \times 2) + (40\% \times 0) \]
\[ = 1,2 + 0 \]
\[ = 1,2 \]
Pagar Merbau II
\[ N = (60\% \times 2) + (40\% \times 0) \]
\[ = 1,2 + 0 \]
\[ = 1,2 \]
Sidoarjo Satu Pasar Miring
\[ N = (60\% \times 4) + (40\% \times 0) \]
\[ = 2,4 + 0 \]
\[ = 2,4 \]
Bandar Dolok
\[ N = (60\% \times 2) + (40\% \times 0) \]
\[ = 1,2 + 0 \]
\[ = 1,2 \]
Aspect Availability of Transportation
Tanjung Mulia
\[ N = (60\% \times 5) + (40\% \times 4,25) \]
\[ = 3 + 1,7 \]
\[ = 4,7 \]
Sumberejo
\[ N = (60\% \times 4) + (40\% \times 4,5) \]
\[ = 2,4 + 1,8 \]
\[ = 4,2 \]
Sidodadi
\[ N = (60\% \times 3) + (40\% \times 5) \]
\[ = 1,8 + 2 \]
\[ = 3,8 \]
Pagar Merbau II
\[ N = (60\% \times 5) + (40\% \times 4,25) \]
\[ = 3 + 1,7 \]
\[ = 4,7 \]
Sidoarjo Satu Pasar Miring
\[ N = (60\% \times 4) + (40\% \times 4,25) \]
= 2.4 + 1.7
= 4.1

Bandar Dolok
N = (60\% \times 2) + (40\% \times 3.75)
= 1.2 + 1.5
= 2.7

### TABLE 4.
**Calculation of Total Values**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No</th>
<th>Village</th>
<th>Aspect Geographical</th>
<th>Aspect Total Population</th>
<th>Aspect Availability Transportation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>CF</td>
<td>SF</td>
<td>NG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Tanjung Mulia</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>3.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Sumberejo</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>4.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Sidodadi</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Pagar Merbau II</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>4.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Sidoarjo Satu Pasar M</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>3.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Bandar Dolok</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>3.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a. Determining Ranking
This ranking calculation process is a continuation of the process of calculating the total value, to perform the ranking will be divided into 3 percentages of the total value calculation results, the percentage distribution is 30% for the total value from the geographical aspect, 40% for the total value from the population aspect, and 30% for the total value of the aspect of the availability of transportation. To calculate the ranking use the following formula:

\[
\text{Rank} = (x)\% \times \text{NG} + (x)\% \times \text{NJ} + (x)\% \times \text{NK}
\]

**Description:**
- NG : Geographical Value
- NJ : Value of Population
- NK : Value of Availability of Transportation

\((x)\%\) : Entered percent value

Tanjung Mulia
\[
\text{R} = (30\% \times 3.6) + (40\% \times 3) + (30\% \times 4.7)
\]
\[
= 1.08 + 1.2 + 1.41
\]
\[
= 3.69
\]

Sumberejo
\[
\text{R} = (30\% \times 4.2) + (40\% \times 1.8) + (30\% \times 4.2)
\]
\[
= 1.26 + 0.72 + 1.26
\]
\[
= 3.24
\]

Sidodadi
\[
\text{R} = (30\% \times 2.6) + (40\% \times 1.2) + (30\% \times 3.8)
\]
\[
= 0.78 + 0.48 + 1.14
\]
\[
= 2.4
\]

Pagar Merbau II
\[
\text{R} = (30\% \times 4.1) + (40\% \times 1.2) + (30\% \times 4.7)
\]
\[
= 1.23 + 0.48 + 1.41
\]
\[
= 3.12
\]

Sidoarjo Satu Pasar Miring
\[
\text{R} = (30\% \times 3.8) + (40\% \times 2.4) + (30\% \times 4.1)
\]
\[
= 1.14 + 0.96 + 1.23
\]
\[
= 3.33
\]
Bandar Dolok

\[ R = (30\% \times 3.8) + (40\% \times 1.2) + (30\% \times 2.7) \]
\[ = 1.14 + 0.48 + 0.81 \]
\[ = 2.43 \]

**TABLE 5.**
**Calculation Of Result Of Ranking**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No</th>
<th>Village</th>
<th>NG</th>
<th>NJ</th>
<th>NK</th>
<th>HA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Tanjung Mulia</td>
<td>3.60</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>4.70</td>
<td>Ranking 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Sumberejo</td>
<td>4.20</td>
<td>1.80</td>
<td>4.20</td>
<td>Ranking 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Sidodali</td>
<td>2.60</td>
<td>1.20</td>
<td>3.80</td>
<td>Ranking 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Pagar Merbau II</td>
<td>4.10</td>
<td>1.20</td>
<td>4.70</td>
<td>Ranking 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Sdrj Satu Psr M</td>
<td>3.80</td>
<td>2.40</td>
<td>4.10</td>
<td>Ranking 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Bandar Dolok</td>
<td>3.80</td>
<td>1.20</td>
<td>2.70</td>
<td>Ranking 6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

From the calculation of the total value above using the profile matching method, the highest final score is 3.69 in Tanjung Mulia village which has the right to be recommended in the construction of the puskesmas.

4. Conclusion

Based on research on Decision Support Systems for Determining the Location of Health Center Development Using the Profile Matching Method, it can be concluded that, Decision Support Systems can be applied in determining the location, where this system can facilitate and speed up decision making. Based on its implementation, a decision support system with the Profile Matching method can be used to solve problems regarding determining the location very well. For further research can use other methods contained in the decision support system, and can be developed by comparing several methods so that the results are better.

References

5. Diana. 2018, Metode & Aplikasi SISTEM PENDUKUNG KEPUTUSAN. Yogyakarta : Deepublish
6. https://deliserdangkab.bps.go.id/
7. https://dinkes.deliserdangkab.go.id/